

Rating Support and Participation in Conversation

Name of conversation partner:			
Date:			
Rated by:			
	Score		
A. Acknowledges Competence			
B. Reveals Competence			
1. Ensures that partner with aphasia understands			
2. Ensures that partner with aphasia has a means of responding			
3. Verifies			
Δνα	erage of B1,B2,B3		
	Erage 01 01,02,03		
easure of Participation in Conversation (MPC)	Erage or D1,D2,D3		
easure of Participation in Conversation (MPC)			
easure of Participation in Conversation (MPC)	Erage or 01,02,03		
easure of Participation in Conversation (MPC) Name of person with aphasia:			
Pasure of Participation in Conversation (MPC) Name of person with aphasia: Date:			
Pasure of Participation in Conversation (MPC) Name of person with aphasia: Date: Rated by:			
Pasure of Participation in Conversation (MPC) Name of person with aphasia: Date: Rated by: A. Interaction			
Pasure of Participation in Conversation (MPC) Name of person with aphasia: Date: Rated by: A. Interaction 1. Verbal/Vocal			
Pasure of Participation in Conversation (MPC) Name of person with aphasia: Date: Rated by: A. Interaction 1. Verbal/Vocal 2. Nonverbal/Gesture/Writing/Drawing/Resources			
Pasure of Participation in Conversation (MPC) Name of person with aphasia: Date: Rated by: A. Interaction 1. Verbal/Vocal			

Note: - It is always important to fill out and repeat the scores of both partners even if only rating the person with aphasia or his/her partner

- For comparison across people/time, it is important to keep skill level of the partner more or less constant

Kagan, A., Winckel, J., Black, S., Duchan, J. F., Simmons-Mackie, N., & Square, P. (2004). A set of observational measures for rating support and participation in conversation between adults with aphasia and their conversation partners. Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation, 11(1), 67-83.

©Aphasia Institute 2014 Date: 12/03/2014

MSC Example of Rating Anchors for Conversation Partner

	Acknowledge Competence	Reveal Competence	
0	Competence of PA not acknowledged; Patronizing; Could cause harm;	No use of techniques to reveal competence	
	Should not be working with our members	•	
1	Needs a lot of supervision or needs to volunteer with experienced co-	Needs a lot of supervision or needs to volunteer with experienced co-	
1	leader	leader	
2	Competence of PA acknowledged implicitly and explicitly as	Volunteer is able to get some information; You do not have big concerns	
	appropriate; Volunteer is ok; You do not have big concerns; Moderate	re leaving them with this member	
	level of supervision e.g., 1 x per month	Te leaving them with this member	
3	Doesn't need much supervision, e.g., 1 x per term (4 months)	Doesn't need much supervision, e.g., 1 x per term (4 months)	
4	Peer-trainer level; Interactionally outstanding; Just needs motivation	Technically outstanding; May not always success but as good as any	
	and ongoing opportunity to learn as opposed to supervision	well-trained professional	

Example of Rating Anchors for Partner with Aphasia

	Interaction	Transaction	
0	No participation at all; No attempt to engage CP or respond to	No evidence of being able to understand or get a message across; Would	
	interactional attempts; Would be very concerned for the volunteer;	be very concerned for the volunteer; Would definitely not feel	
	Would definitely not feel comfortable to leave the volunteer (CP) alone	comfortable to leave the volunteer alone with this member unless	
	with this member (PA) unless volunteer is highly skilled	volunteer is highly skilled	
1	PA beginning to take some responsibility for interaction; Still	AP beginning to show evidence of being able to understand and convey	
	concerned about the volunteer, and would feel obliged to observe	content; Still concerned about the volunteer, and would feel obliged to	
	frequently and provide support, unless volunteer is highly skilled	observe frequently and provide support, unless volunteer is highly	
	rrequently and provide support, unless volunteer is nightly skined	skilled	
2	Clear attempts to be part of the conversation; Feel ok to leave this	Evidence of ability to understand and get a message across in some way	
	member with the volunteer, but would need to check in	at least 50% of the time; Feel ok to leave this member with the	
	member with the volunteer, but would need to check in	volunteer, but would need to check in	
3	PA taking increased responsibility for interaction; Very little concern	Able to understand and convey content most of the time; Very little	
	for volunteer, but would still check in from time to time, e.g. 1 x per	concern for the volunteer, but would still check in from time to time, e.g.	
	term (4 months)	1x per term (4 months)	
4	Full and appropriate participation; Takes responsibility for	Able to understand and get a message across; Full confidence in the member - no concerns at all for the volunteer	
	conversational interaction; Full confidence in the member – no		
	concerns at all for the volunteer		

Note: PA = partner with aphasia; CP = conversation partner